

Summary of Evaluations for History of Philosophy III (Kant and the 19th Century)

Spring 2016, University of Chicago

Role: Course Assistant

Respondents: 20 students

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course?

Leading discussion/Grading + lead discussion sections/lead discussion, read and graded papers/lead discussion section, grade papers, provide feedback/run discussion sections and help with essays/understanding the material/Running discussion sections; grading papers/Conduct discussions, review course material, respond to student questions, evaluate papers/To facilitate discussion, and clear up any problems we had w/ the text./Leading discussion sections; grading papers/To provide and facilitate supplementary discussion regarding the texts we read for the class./Leading Section, Grading/Run discussion section/Lead Discussion Section and Grade Papers/Leading discussion sections and grading papers, holding office hours/leading discussion sections and grading papers/ to lead discussion sections, read & grade papers, & to assist as necessary through office hours/To help students in her section understand material/Help us understand the text better and go over parts of lecture in depth/Evaluating paper. holding regular office hour & discussion sections/To facilitate discussion and answer our questions during discussion sections/

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance – how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain course material:

excellently-11 quite well-7 adequately-2 poorly-0

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently-13 quite well-5 adequately-1 poorly-1

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently-12 quite well-5 adequately-3 poorly-0

D. to respond to written material

excellently-11 quite well-7 adequately-1 poorly-0 (not applicable)-1

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

Lead discussion well, used a variety of styles that kept discussion fresh + interesting/Very clear, engaging/very approachable, made the dense material easier to digest/made complex text very clear/Very Friendly and approachable, easy to talk to and always willing to answer any questions/help whenever needed/Very clear explanation during discussion; open-minded/non-dogmatic; very helpful in feedback on drafts; very clear translation of difficult topics; overall very helpful & kind/Morganna was a great section leader – very clear and apparently knowledgeable, and kind/kind, interesting, cared about writing level. Pushed us on comments we made/Morganna is very enthusiastic and approachable. Overall, I found discussions informative and productive./Morganna was fantastic; one of (if not the) best philosophy TA I've ever had.

She facilitated discussion extremely well, was captivating, and provided us with insightful comments about the material./She was sufficiently clear and pleasant, she organized discussion sections well/Ran group discussion well. Was very responsive to email./Morganna is a very inviting instructor, she gives students a fair amount of freedom to discuss, but still has a guiding hand in the discussion's trajectory/She was great at facilitating discussion without taking over, and guiding responses/varied & productive discussion sections, well-organized and engaging/Really engaged in the material/Our discussions were very insightful, I really wish we had 3 discussions per week, I could definitely do without the lectures. 3 hrs is way too long./Capable of leading the discussion in a coherent way/Very kind and happy to help.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

Discussion was fine, no suggestions/Greater depth of discussion, but really, it was more of the class (sections for intro classes are generally difficult to go into any depth with because of the students.)/maybe it would be nice if the discussions were based on student questions about the texts/Nothing/Sometimes she seemed to answer questions a little vaguely, as if she didn't know or just had trouble answering, other than this she was great/N/A/I'd suggest being more critical towards students contribution in discussion, so that we have a more critical and demanding debate./I don't have many suggestions; she was great. Discussion each week was a pleasure – she was so enthusiastic and helpful./students pulled her off track too much talking about things irrelevant to the class, should have called out BS more, maybe explained some things herself/I didn't enjoy working in pairs./Morganna was great, helpful, and always available despite living in Evanston and defending her dissertation./----- /Noting in particular, everything in general. She was just overall mediocre/feedback on papers was a little slow (but I'm pretty sure she was out of the country so whatever)/Sometimes comments in person contradicted comments given for papers. Caused confusion./Sometimes discussions were led astray to minute issues. Think its better to stick to the big ideas./Spend more time on the reading./Had she had proper office hours this would have been helpful.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 2700 Section 1

Course Assistant's Name: Morgans Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 16

Today's Date: May something Your Year: 4 Major: Phil/Hist

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

Lead discussion well,
use a variety of styles that kept discussion fresh +
interesting

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

Discussion was fine, no
suggestions

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000/01

Course Assistant's Name: Marganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 27 May 16 Your Year: 4 Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Grading + lead discussion sections

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very clear, engaging,

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Greater depth of
discussion, but really, it was more of the class (sections
for intro classes are generally difficult to go into any
depth with because of the student.)



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000 section 1

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 16

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 3 Major: philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? led discussion, read and graded papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? very approachable, made

the dense material easier to digest

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? maybe it would be nice

if the discussions were based on student questions about the texts

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000 sec 1

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27 Your Year: 3rd Major: Phil / Bio

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? lead discussion section,
grade papers, provide feedback

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently - quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? _____

made complex text very clear

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____

Nothing

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: History of Phil III, Phil 27000, section 1

Course Assistant's Name: Margana Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5-27-16 Your Year: 3 Major: Physics & Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? run discussion sections and help with essays / understanding the material

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very Friendly and approachable, easy to talk to and always willing to answer any questions / help whenever needed

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Sometimes she seemed to answer questions a little vaguely, as if she didn't know or just had trouble answering, other than this she was great.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: A History of Phil. III, PHIL 27000, Section 1

Course Assistant's Name: Morgan Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 4th Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Running discussion section;
grading papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

Very clear explanation during
discussion; open-minded/non-dogmatic; very helpful in
feedback on drafts; papers; very clear translation of difficult
topics; overall very helpful; kind
IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? N/A

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: History of Philosophy -3: Kant & the 19th Century, Phil 27000, section 1

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/2016 Your Year: 4th Major: Environmental Studies & Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Conduct discussions, review course material, respond to student questions, evaluate papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Morganna was a great section leader - very clear and apparently knowledgeable, and kind.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000

Course Assistant's Name: Morgan Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: May 27 Your Year: 3rd Major: Economics

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? To facilitate discussion,
and clear up any problems we had w/ the text.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Kind, interesting
cared about writing level. Pushed us on comments
we made

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000

Course Assistant's Name: Morganne Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/2016 Your Year: First Major: philosophy, (probably)

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? leading discussion sections;
grading papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Morganne is very enthusiastic
and approachable. She was great Overall, I found the
discussions informative and productive.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? I'd suggest being more
critical towards students' contribution in discussion, so that we have
a more critical and demanding debate.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000

Course Assistant's Name: Morgan Lambert Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 05/27/16 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy/Pol Sci

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? To provide ^{and facilitate} supplementary discussion
regarding the texts we read for the class.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Morgan was fantastic; one of (if not
the) best philosophy TA I've ever had. She ~~spared~~ facilitated discussion
extremely well, was captivating, and provided us with insightful ^{comments} ~~material~~ about the material.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? I don't have many suggestions;
she was great. Discussion each ~~week~~ week was a pleasure - she
was ~~was~~ so enthusiastic and helpful.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000, section 2

Course Assistant's Name: Margaret Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27 Your Year: 2nd Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading Section, Grading

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

- | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------|------------------|
| A. to explain the course material: | excellently | quite well | <u>adequately</u> | poorly | (not applicable) |
| B. to conduct discussions: | excellently | quite well | <u>adequately</u> | poorly | (not applicable) |
| C. to respond to questions and comments: | excellently | quite well | <u>adequately</u> | poorly | (not applicable) |
| D. to respond to written material: | excellently | quite well | <u>adequately</u> | poorly | (not applicable) |

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? She was sufficiently clear and pleasant, she organized discussion sections well

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Students pulled her off track too much talking about things irrelevant to the class, should have called out DS more, maybe explained some things herself

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 2700

Course Assistant's Name: Marganna Lambert Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 2nd Major: Statistics + Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Rundiscussion section

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

Ran group discussion

well. Was very responsive to email.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

I didn't enjoy working

in pairs.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000, section 2, Kant + the 19th Cent.

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring, 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 3rd Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Lead Discussion Section and Grade Papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Morganna is a very inviting instructor, she gives students a fair amount of freedom to discuss, but still has a guiding hand in the discussion's trajectory

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Morganna was great, helpful, and always available despite living in Evanston and defending her dissertation.



DEPARTMENT OF PHILOSOPHY
1115 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

TEL: (773) 702-8513
FAX: (773) 702-5259

WEB: philosophy.uchicago.edu
E-MAIL: philosophy-department@listhost.uchicago.edu

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000, Section 2, History of Philosophy 3

Course Assistant's Name: Marganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 2nd Major: Sociology / Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Leading discussion sections and grading papers, holding office hours

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? She was great at facilitating discussion without taking over, and grading responses

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? _____

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000 Section 2

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: May 27th Your Year: 4th Major: Philosophy/math

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? leading discussion sections
and grading papers

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? _____

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Nothing in particular
everything in general. She was just
overall mediocre

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

History of Philosophy III:

Course name, number and section: Kant in the 19th Century, PHIL 27000,

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 05/27/2016 Your Year: 2nd Year Major: Philosophy (Allied Fields Program) applicant

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? to lead discussion sections, read & grade papers, & to assist as necessary through office hours

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently

quite well

adequately

poorly

(not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently

quite well

adequately

poorly

(not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently

quite well

adequately

poorly

(not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently

quite well

adequately

poorly

(not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?

~~organized~~ varied &

productive discussion sections, well-organized and engaging

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?

feed back on papers

was a little slow (but i'm pretty sure she was out of the country so T.O.T whatever)

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: PHIL 27000

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Quarter and Year: Spring '16

Today's Date: 5/27/2016 Your Year: Junior Major: Philosophy

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? To help the students in her section understand material.

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant?
Really engaged in the material.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions?
Sometimes comments in person contradicted comments given for papers. Caused confusion.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000 Section 2

Course Assistant's Name: Margery Lambeth Quarter and Year: Spring '16

Today's Date: 5/27/16 Your Year: 3rd Major: Economics

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Help us understand the text better, and go over parts of lecture in depth

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:

excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Our discussions were very

insightful. I really wish we had 3 discussions per week. I could
definitely do without the lectures. 3 hrs is way too long

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Sometimes discussions were

were less strag to minute issues. Think its better to stick to
the big ideas.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Kant & 19th Century Philosophy 27000

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: 5/27/2016 Your Year: 2nd Major: Philosophy / Sociology

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? Evaluating paper holding regular office hour & discussion sections

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

- A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)
- D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Capable of leading the discussion in a coherent way

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Spend more time on the reading.

COURSE ASSISTANT EVALUATION: FOR STUDENTS TO COMPLETE

Course name, number and section: Phil 27000 Section 2

Course Assistant's Name: Morganna Lambert Quarter and Year: Spring 2016

Today's Date: May 27, 2016 Your Year: 4th Major: Law, Letters & Society

I. What were the C.A.'s primary duties in this course? To facilitate discussion and answer our questions during discussion sections

II. Rate your C.A.'s performance -- how well was the C.A. able:

A. to explain the course material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

B. to conduct discussions:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

C. to respond to questions and comments:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

D. to respond to written material:
excellently quite well adequately poorly (not applicable)

III. What were the special strong points of your course assistant? Very kind and happy to help.

IV. What could your course assistant have done better? Suggestions? Had she had proper office hours this would have been helpful